

CASE DIGEST: *Indep. Union of Pension Emps. for Democracy & Justice*, 71 FLRA 60 (2019) (Member DuBester concurring)

The Union filed a motion for reconsideration of *Independent Union of Pension Employees for Democracy and Justice (IUPEDJI)*, 70 FLRA 820 (2018) (Member DuBester concurring). In *IUPEDJI*, the Authority found that the Chief Administrative Law Judge properly granted a motion for summary judgment, found that the Union committed an unfair labor practice by improperly attempting to dismantle a duly assembled arbitration panel, and modified the remedy to include an additional arbitrator. In its motion for reconsideration, the Union repeated arguments it had made in *IUPEDJI*, and also argued that the General Counsel did not request the modified remedy. Additionally, according to the Union, the Authority accused the Union of “not doing arbitrations for employees” and “blame[d] the Union for the proceedings.” The Authority found that the Union’s motion presented arguments that the Authority had already considered and rejected in *IUPEDJI*, mischaracterized *IUPEDJI*, or relied on dicta. Therefore, the Authority concluded that the Union failed to demonstrate extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration and denied the Union’s motion. Member DuBester concurred in the decision.

Member DuBester concurred in the decision.

This case digest is a summary of a decision issued by the Federal Labor Relations Authority, with a short description of the issues and facts of the case. Descriptions contained in this case digest are for informational purposes only, do not constitute legal precedent, and are not intended to be a substitute for the opinion of the Authority.