

**CASE DIGEST:** *U.S. Dep't of HUD*, 71 FLRA 17 (2019) (Member DuBester dissenting)

The Union filed a motion asking the Authority to reconsider *U.S. Dep't of HUD*, 70 FLRA 122 (2018) (*HUD VIII*) (Member DuBester dissenting). In *HUD VIII*, the Authority vacated *HUD I* through *HUD VII* and the Arbitrator's awards and written summaries after finding that the original grievance concerned classification and that the Arbitrator always lacked jurisdiction over the grievance.

The Authority found that although prior arbitration awards and written summaries were final and binding, it was appropriate to consider whether jurisdiction existed, and that its decision did not deprive the Union or the grievants of due process. Accordingly, the Authority denied the Union's motion because it failed to establish extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration of *HUD VIII*.

Member DuBester dissented and would have granted the motion because the Union's arguments raised extraordinary circumstances.

This case digest is a summary of a decision issued by the Federal Labor Relations Authority, with a short description of the issues and facts of the case. Descriptions contained in this case digest are for informational purposes only, do not constitute legal precedent, and are not intended to be a substitute for the opinion of the Authority.