
This case concerned an arbitrator’s jurisdiction to resolve a grievance seeking a promotion and backpay for the grievant’s performance of duties allegedly outside of her position description and of a higher grade. The arbitrator found that she could hear the merits of the grievance pertaining to a violation of the parties’ agreement though exclude issues pertaining to classification. The Authority found that the agency’s exceptions were interlocutory, but because the grievance raised a plausible jurisdictional defect, review was warranted. As demonstrated by the requested remedy, the essential nature of the grievance was classification. Therefore, the Authority found 5 U.S.C. § 7121(c)(5) barred the grievance and set aside the interim award.

Member DuBester dissented, finding that the grievance, when read in its entirety, did not concern a classification matter within the meaning of § 7121(c)(5) of the Statute. Because he found that the Agency’s interlocutory exceptions to the Arbitrator’s interim award did not demonstrate that the award had a plausible jurisdictional defect, he would have dismissed the Agency’s exceptions.
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