
The Arbitrator denied the Union’s grievance – alleging that the grievants were entitled to higher pay during the first two weeks of a detail – on the basis of an arrangement between the Union president and Agency management. Before the Authority, the Union argued that the arbitrator exceeded his authority and that the award failed to draw its essence from the parties’ national agreement. The Authority denied the Union’s exceptions, finding that the Arbitrator resolved the issue before him and did not err by relying on the arrangement between the parties to resolve the dispute.

Chairman DuBester concurred in the decision to deny the Union’s exceptions.

Member Abbott dissented, concluding that the arbitrator exceeded his authority and that the award did not draw its essence from the collective-bargaining agreement (CBA) because the arbitrator did not resolve the issue or apply the relevant CBA Article.
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