In this case, the Arbitrator granted environmental-differential pay (EDP) to housekeepers at the Agency’s medical facility based on exposure to high-hazard microorganisms, but denied EDP for pipefitters. The Agency argued that the award was based on nonfacts, contrary to law, and incomplete, ambiguous, or contradictory. The Authority found that the Agency failed to establish that the award was deficient on any of these bases, and denied, in part, and dismissed, in part, the Agency’s exceptions.

Chairman DuBester concurred, agreeing with the decision to deny, in part, and dismiss, in part, the Agency’s exceptions.

This case digest is a summary of a decision issued by the Federal Labor Relations Authority, with a short description of the issues and facts of the case. Descriptions contained in this case digest are for informational purposes only, do not constitute legal precedent, and are not intended to be a substitute for the opinion of the Authority.