
The Arbitrator denied a grievance alleging that the Agency violated the parties’ collective-bargaining agreement regarding reduction-in-force procedures and vacancy-notice requirements when the Agency reassigned employees. The Union filed exceptions on nonfact, contrary-to-law, and essence grounds. Because the Union failed to demonstrate that the award was deficient on any of those grounds, the Authority denied the exceptions.

This case digest is a summary of a decision issued by the Federal Labor Relations Authority, with a short description of the issues and facts of the case. Descriptions contained in this case digest are for informational purposes only, do not constitute legal precedent, and are not intended to be a substitute for the opinion of the Authority.