The Arbitrator found that the Agency violated the parties’ collective-bargaining agreement and Agency instructions by failing to approve the grievant’s tuition-assistance requests. The Agency filed exceptions to the award on essence and contrary-to-law grounds. The Authority dismissed the Agency’s contrary-to-law exception and some of its essence arguments because they were not raised to the Arbitrator. And because the Agency did not demonstrate that the award failed to draw its essence from the parties’ agreement, the Authority denied the remaining essence exception.

Member Kiko dissented. She would set aside the award as failing to draw its essence from the parties’ agreement because the award unreasonably converted the maximum tuition-reimbursement allowed into the minimum reimbursement required in the grievant’s case.
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