

CASE DIGEST: *Pension Benefit Guar. Corp., Wash. D.C.*, 74 FLRA 360 (2026)

The Federal Labor Relations Authority's (FLRA's) Acting General Counsel (GC) issued a consolidated complaint alleging the Respondent (Agency) violated § 7116(a)(1) and (5) of the Federal Service Labor-Management Relations Statute by failing to continue existing personnel policies, practices, and matters affecting working conditions to the maximum extent possible. An FLRA Administrative Law Judge (Judge) found the Agency did not violate § 7116(a)(1) or (5) and recommended dismissing the complaint. The Charging Party (Union) filed exceptions, arguing that the Judge erred in her findings of fact and that the Judge and the GC were biased against the Union. The Authority found that the Union did not establish that the Judge erred in her factual findings. Further, the Authority rejected the Union's argument that the Judge and the GC were biased. Thus, the Authority denied the Union's exceptions and adopted the Judge's findings, conclusion, and recommended order.

This case digest is a summary of a decision issued by the Federal Labor Relations Authority, with a short description of the issues and facts of the case. Descriptions contained in this case digest are for informational purposes only, do not constitute legal precedent, and are not intended to be a substitute for the opinion of the Authority.